arXiv:cond-mat/0605316v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 30 Mar 2007

Sufficient symmetry conditions for Topological Quantum Order

Zohar Nussinov[1] and Gerardo Ortiz[2]
[1]*Department of Physics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63160, USA and*
[2]*Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA*

(Dated: February 5, 2008)

We prove sufficient conditions for Topological Quantum Order (TQO). The crux of the proof hinges on the existence of low-dimensional Gauge-Like Symmetries (GLSs), thus providing a unifying framework based on a symmetry principle. All known examples of TQO display GLSs. Other systems exhibiting such symmetries include Hamiltonians depicting orbital-dependent spin exchange and Jahn-Teller effects in transition metal orbital compounds, short-range frustrated Klein spin models, and p+ip superconducting arrays. We analyze the physical consequences of GLSs (including topological terms and charges) and, most importantly, show the insufficiency of the energy spectrum, (recently defined) entanglement entropy, maximal string correlators, and fractionalization in establishing TQO. Duality mappings illustrate that not withstanding the existence of spectral gaps, thermal fluctuations can impose restrictions on suggested TQO computing schemes. Our results allow us to go beyond standard topological field theories and engineer new systems with TQO.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 11.15.-q, 71.10.-w, 71.10.Pm

The Landau theory of phase transitions is a landmark in physics [1]. Essential is an order parameter characterizing the thermodynamic phases of the system. A new paradigm, Topological Quantum Order (TQO), [2] extends the Landau symmetry-breaking framework. At its core, TQO is intuitively associated with insensitivity to *local* perturbations. As such, TQO cannot be described by *local* order parameters. Interest is catalyzed by the prospect of fault-tolerant quantum computation [3].

Several inter-related concepts are typically invoked in connection to TQO: symmetry, degeneracy, fractionalization of quantum numbers, maximal string correlations (*non-local* order), among others. The main issue is *what* is needed to have a system with TQO. But a real problem is that there is no unambiguous definition of TQO. The current article aims to show relations between these *different* concepts, by rigorously defining and establishing the equivalence between some of them and more lax relations amongst others. Most importantly, we (i) prove that systems harboring generalized d-dimensional (with $d = 0, 1, 2$) Gauge-Like Symmetries (d-GLSs) exhibit TQO; (ii) analyze the resulting conservation laws and the emergence of topological terms in the action of theories in high space dimensions; (iii) affirm that the structure of the energy spectrum is irrelevant for the existence of TQO (the *devil* is in the state itself); (iv) establish that, fractionalization, string correlators, and entanglement entropy are insufficient criteria for TQO; (v) report on a general algorithm for the construction of string correlators; (vi) suggest links between TQO and problems in graph theory. Our goal is to provide a unifying framework allowing the creation of new physical models displaying TQO. A very detailed review and derivation of the results presented here is available in [4].

We focus on quantum lattice systems (and their continuum extension) having $N_s = \prod_{\mu=1}^{D} L_\mu$ sites, with L_μ the number of sites along each space direction μ, and D the dimensionality of the lattice Λ. Associated to each lattice site (or mode, or bond, etc) $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N_s}$ there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\mathbf{i}$ of finite dimension $\mathcal{D}$. The Hilbert space is the tensor product of the local state spaces, $\mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_\mathbf{i} \mathcal{H}_\mathbf{i}$, in the case of distinguishable subsystems, or a proper subspace in the case of indistinguishable ones. Statements about local order, TQO, fractionalization, entanglement, etc., are *relative* to the particular decomposition used to describe the physical system. Typically, the most natural *local language* [5] is physically motivated.

To determine what is needed for TQO, we start by defining it. Given a set of N orthonormal ground states (GSs) $\{|g_\alpha\rangle\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,N}$ and a (uniform) gap to excited states, TQO exists iff for any bounded operator V with compact support (i.e. any *quasi-local operator*),

$$\langle g_\alpha | V | g_\beta \rangle = v \ \delta_{\alpha\beta} + c, \tag{1}$$

where v is a constant and c is a correction that it is either zero or vanishes exponentially in the thermodynamic limit. We will also examine a finite temperature ($T > 0$) extension for the diagonal elements of Eq. (1),

$$\langle V \rangle_\alpha \equiv \text{tr}\ (\rho_\alpha V) = v + c \qquad \text{(independent of } \alpha\text{)}, \tag{2}$$

with $\rho_\alpha = \exp[-H_\alpha/(k_B T)]$ a density matrix corresponding to the Hamiltonian H endowed with an infinitesimal symmetry-breaking field favoring order in the state $|g_\alpha\rangle$. A system displays finite-T TQO if it satisfies both Eqs. (1), and (2).

A d-GLS of a theory given by H (or action S) is a group of symmetry transformations $\mathcal{G}_d$ such that the minimal non-empty set of fields $\phi_\mathbf{i}$ changed by the group operations spans a d-dimensional subset $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda$. These transformations can be expressed as [6]: $U_{\mathbf{lk}} = \prod_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{ik}}$, where $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{l}$ denotes the subregion $\mathbf{l}$, and $\Lambda = \bigcup_\mathbf{l} \mathcal{C}_\mathbf{l}$. (The extension of this definition to the continuum is straightforward.) Gauge (local) symmetries correspond to $d = 0$, while in global symmetries the region influenced by the symmetry operation is $d = D$-dimensional. These symmetries may be Abelian or non-Abelian.

What are the physical consequences of having a system endowed with a symmetry group $\mathcal{G}_d$? Symmetries generally imply the existence of conservation laws and topological charges with associated continuity equations. We find that systems with d-GLSs lead to conservation laws within d-dimensional regions. To illustrate, consider the (continuum) Euclidean Lagrangian density of a complex field $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) = (\phi_1(\vec{x}), \phi_2(\vec{x}), \phi_3(\vec{x}))$ ($\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$): $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_\mu |\partial_\mu \phi_\mu|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_\mu |\partial_\tau \phi_\mu|^2 + W(\phi_\mu)$, with $W(\phi_\mu) = u(\sum_\mu |\phi_\mu|^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_\mu m^2(|\phi_\mu|^2)$ and $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3$. $\mathcal{L}$ displays the continuous $d = 1$ symmetries $\phi_\mu \to e^{i\psi_\mu(\{x_\nu\}_{\nu\neq\mu})}\phi_\mu$. The conserved $d = 1$ Noether currents are tensors given by $j_{\mu\nu} = i[\phi_\mu^* \partial_\nu \phi_\mu - (\partial_\nu \phi_\mu^*)\phi_\mu]$, which satisfy $d = 1$ conservation laws $[\partial_\nu j_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\tau j_{\mu\tau}] = 0$ (with no summation over repeated indices implicit). What is special about d-GLSs is that there is a conservation law for each line associated with a fixed value of all coordinates $x_{\nu\neq\mu}$ relating to the topological charge $Q_\mu(\{x_{\nu\neq\mu}\}) = \int dx_\mu \; j_{\mu\tau}(\vec{x})$.

Can we spontaneously break d-GLSs? The absolute values of quantities not invariant under $\mathcal{G}_d$ are bounded from above by the expectation values that they attain in a d-dimensional Hamiltonian $\bar{H}$ (or corresponding action $\bar{S}$) which is globally invariant under $\mathcal{G}_d$ and preserves the range of the interactions of the original systems [6]. As the expectation values of local observables vanish in low-d systems, this bound strictly forbids spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) of non-$\mathcal{G}_d$ invariant local quantities in systems with interactions of finite range and strength whenever $d = 0$ (Elitzur's theorem), $d = 1$ for both discrete and continuous $\mathcal{G}_d$, and (as a consequence of the Mermin-Wagner-Coleman theorem) whenever $d = 2$ for continuous symmetries [6]. Discrete $d = 2$ symmetries may be broken (e.g. the finite-T transition of the $D = 2$ Ising model, and the $d = 2$ Ising GLS of $D = 3$ orbital compass systems). In the presence of a finite gap in a system with continuous $d \leq 2$ symmetries, SSB is forbidden even at $T = 0$ [6]. The absence of SSB of non-GLSs invariant quantities is due to the presence of low-dimensional topological defects such as: domain walls/solitons in systems with $d = 1$ discrete symmetries, vortices in systems with $d = 2$ $U(1)$ symmetries, hedgehogs for $d = 2$ $SU(2)$ symmetries. Transitions and crossovers can only be discerned by quasi-local symmetry invariant quantities (e.g. Wilson-like loops) or, by probing global topological properties (e.g. percolation in lattice gauge theories [7]). Extending the bound of [6] to $T = 0$, we now find that if $T = 0$ SSB is precluded in systems with d-GLSs then it will also be precluded in the higher-dimensional system for quantities not invariant under exact or $T = 0$ *emergent* d-GLSs. Exact symmetries refer to $[U, H] = 0$; in *emergent* symmetries [5] unitary operators $U \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{emergent}}$ are not bona fide symmetries ($[U, H] \neq 0$) yet become exact at low energies: when applied to any GS, the resultant state must also reside in the GS manifold, $U|g_\alpha\rangle = \sum_\beta u_{\alpha\beta}|g_\beta\rangle$. Thus, SSB of $T = 0$, $d = 0$ discrete or $d \leq 1$ continuous symmetries, in systems of finite interaction strength and range, cannot occur. In gapped systems, $T = 0$ SSB of $d \leq 2$ continuous symmetries is prohibited.

Degeneracies imply the existence of symmetries which effect general unitary transformations within the degenerate manifold and act as the identity operator outside it. In this way, GS degeneracies act as exact GLSs. The existence of such unitary symmetry operators (generally a subset of $SU(N)$) allows for fractional charge ("N-ality" in the $SU(3)$ terminology of quantum chromodynamics) implying that degeneracy allows for fractionalization defined by the center of the symmetry group. The (m-)rized Peierls chains constitute a typical example of a system with universal ($m-$independent) symmetry operators [4], where fractional charge quantized in units of $e^* = e/m$ with e the electronic charge is known to occur [8]. The bounds above generalize to these symmetries. Different Peierls chain GSs break discrete $d = 1$ symmetries (violating Eq. (1) in this system with fractionalization). The Fermi number N_f in the Peierls chain and related Dirac-like theories is an integral over spectral functions [9]; the fractional portion of N_f stems from soliton contributions and is invariant under local background deformations.

When the bound of [6] is applied anew to correlators and spectral functions, it dictates the absence of quasiparticle (qp) excitations in many instances [10]. Here we elaborate on this: The bound of [6] mandates that the absolute values of non-symmetry invariant correlators $|G| \equiv |\sum_{\Omega_{\mathbf{j}}} a_{\Omega_{\mathbf{j}}} \langle \prod_{\mathbf{i}\in\Omega_{\mathbf{j}}} \phi_{\mathbf{i}} \rangle|$ with $\Omega_{\mathbf{j}} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{j}}$, and $\{a_{\Omega_{\mathbf{j}}}\}$ c-numbers, are bounded from above (and from below for $G \geq 0$ (e.g. that corresponding to $\langle|\phi(\mathbf{k},\omega)|^2\rangle$)) by absolute values of the same correlators $|G|$ in a d-dimensional system defined by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{j}}$. In particular, $\{a_{\Omega_{\mathbf{j}}}\}$ can be chosen to give the Fourier transformed pair-correlation functions. This leads to stringent bounds on viable qp weights and establishes the absence of qp excitations in many cases. In high-dimensional systems, retarded correlators G generally exhibit a resonant (qp) contribution. Here, $G = G_{\mathsf{res}}(\mathbf{k},\omega) + G_{\mathsf{non-res}}(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ with $G_{\mathsf{res}}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{Z_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + i0^+}$. In low-dimensional systems, the qp weight $Z_{\mathbf{k}} \to 0$ and the poles of G are often replaced by weaker branch cut behavior. If the momentum $\mathbf{k}$ lies in a lower d-dimensional region $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{j}}$ and if no qp resonant terms appear in the corresponding lower-dimensional spectral functions in the presence of non-symmetry breaking fields then the upper bound [6] on the correlator $|G|$ (and on related qp weights given by $\lim_{\omega\to\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}(\omega - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})G(\mathbf{k},\omega)$) of non-symmetry invariant quantities mandates the absence of normal qps. Putting all of the pieces together we see that if fractionalization occurs in the lower-dimensional system then its higher-dimensional realization follows.

Our central contention is that in all systems known to harbor TQO (and in new examples), d-GLSs are present. Old examples include: Quantum Hall systems, $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theories, the Toric-code model [3] and other systems. In all cases of TQO, we may cast known "topological" symmetry operators as general low-dimensional $d \leq 2$ GLSs (e.g. in the Toric code model, there are $d = 1$ symmetry operators spanning toric cycles). The presence of these symmetries allows for the existence of freely-propagating decoupled d-dimensional topological defects (or instantons in $(d+1)$ dimensions of Euclidean space time) which eradicate local order. These defects enforce TQO. We now state a central result:

Theorem. *When, in a system of finite interaction range and strength which satisfies Eq. (1), all GSs may be linked by discrete $d \leq 1$ or by continuous $d \leq 2$ GLSs $U \in \mathcal{G}_d$, then the system displays finite-T* TQO*.*

Proof: Let's start by decomposing $V = V_0 + V_\perp$. Here, V_0 is the portion that transforms as a singlet under $\mathcal{G}_d$ i.e., $[U, V_0] = 0$. To prove the finite-T relation of Eq. (2), we write the expectation values over a complete set of orthonormal states $\{|a\rangle\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle V_0\rangle_\alpha &= \lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{\sum_a \langle a|V_0|a\rangle e^{-\beta(E_a+\phi^a_\alpha h)}}{\sum_a e^{-\beta(E_a+\phi^a_\alpha h)}} \\ &= \lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{\sum_a \langle a|U^\dagger V_0 U|a\rangle e^{-\beta(E_a+\phi^a_{U^\dagger\beta} h)}}{\sum_a e^{-\beta(E_a+\phi^a_{U\beta} h)}} \\ &= \lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{\sum_b \langle b|V_0|b\rangle e^{-\beta(E_b+\phi^b_\beta h)}}{\sum_b e^{-\beta(E_b+\phi^b_\beta h)}} = \langle V_0\rangle_\beta. \qquad (3)\end{aligned}$$

Here, we invoked $U|a\rangle \equiv |b\rangle$, and $E_a = E_b$ (as $[U, H] = 0$). The term ϕ^a_α monitors the order parameter content of the state $|a\rangle$ vis a vis that preferred by the GS $|g_\alpha\rangle$. In the above derivation, $\phi^a_\alpha = \phi^{Ua}_{U\alpha} = \phi^b_\beta$, which is evident by the application of a simultaneous unitary transformation in going from $|a\rangle \to^U |b\rangle$ and $|g_\alpha\rangle \to^U |g_\beta\rangle$. $V_\perp$ is not invariant under $\mathcal{G}_d$ ($[U, V_\perp] \neq 0$) then by the theorem of [6], $\langle V_\perp\rangle_\alpha = 0$, i.e. for systems with low-dimensional GLSs SSB is precluded. Eq. (3) is valid whenever $[U, V_0] = 0$ for *any* symmetry U. However, $[U, V_\perp] \neq 0$ implies $\langle V_\perp\rangle_\alpha = 0$ only if U is a low-dimensional GLS. In systems in which not all GS pairs can be linked by the use of low-dimensional GLSs $U \in \mathcal{G}_d$ ($U|g_\alpha\rangle = |g_\beta\rangle$), finite-$T$ SSB may occur. We conclude this proof with two remarks [4]: (i) $T = 0$ TQO holds whenever all GSs may be linked by continuous $d \leq 2$ GLSs. (ii) In many systems (whether gapped or gapless), $T = 0$ TQO states may be constructed by employing Wigner-Eckart type selection rules for GLSs.

A related consequence of systems with d-GLSs is that topological terms which appear in $d+1$-dimensional theories also appear in higher $D+1$-dimensional systems ($D > d$). These topological terms appear in actions $\bar{S}$ which bound quantities not invariant under the d-GLSs. For instance, in the isotropic $D = 2$ (or 2+1) dimensional general spin t_{2g} KK model [4, 11], of exchange constant $J > 0$, the corresponding continuum Euclidean action is of the 1+1 form $\bar{S} = \frac{1}{2g}\int dx d\tau \left[\frac{1}{v_s}(\partial_\tau \hat{m})^2 - v_s(\partial_x \hat{m})^2\right] + i\theta\mathcal{Q} + S_{\mathsf{tr}}$, $\mathcal{Q} = \frac{\theta}{8\pi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\cdot(\partial_\mu \hat{m}\cdot\partial_\nu \hat{m})$, with $\mu, \nu \in \{x, \tau\}$ and $\epsilon_{\nu\mu}$ the rank two Levi Civita symbol. Here, as in the non-linear-σ model of a spin-S chain, $\hat{m}$ a normalized slowly varying staggered field, $g = 2/S$, $v_s = 2JS$, $\theta = 2\pi S$, and S_{tr} a "transverse-field" action term which does not act on the spin degrees of freedom along a given chain [6]. $\mathcal{Q}$ is the Pontryagin index corresponding to the mapping between the 1+1 dimensional space-time (x, τ) plane and the two-sphere on which $\hat{m}$ resides. This 1+1 dimensional topological term appears in the 2+1 dimensional KK system even for arbitrary large positive coupling J. This, in turn, places bounds on the spin correlations and implies, for instance, that in $D = 2$ integer-spin t_{2g} KK systems, a finite correlation length exists.

What characterizes TQO? We will see that neither the spectrum of H, nor the entanglement entropy [12], nor string correlations are sufficient criteria. That the spectrum, on its own, is insufficient is established by counter-examples, e.g. that of the $D = 3$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory which is dual to a $D = 3$ Ising model [13]. Albeit sharing the same energy spectrum, the gauge theory displays TQO while the Ising model harbors a local order. A spectral equivalence exists between other TQO inequivalent system pairs. For example, Kitaev's [3] and Wen's models [14] are equivalent and have a spectrum which is identical to that of an Ising spin chain with nearest neighbor interactions [4]. The mappings between these systems to an Ising chain demonstrate that despite the spectral gap in these systems, the toric operator expectation values may vanish once thermal fluctuations are present. These mappings also illustrate that the quantum states themselves in a particular (operator language) representation encode TQO and that the duality mappings, being non-local in the original representation, disentangle the order. Thus, we cannot, as coined by Kac, "hear the shape of a drum". The information is in the eigenvectors.

It was recently suggested [12] that a non-local borne deviation $\gamma > 0$ from an asymptotic area law scaling for the entanglement entropy constitutes a sharp measure of TQO. We find, for example in Klein spin models which do not host TQO (i.e. do not satisfy Eq. (1) for the set of all GSs), an arbitrary – contour shape dependent – entanglement entropy which deviates from an area law [4]. This suggests that the criterion of [12] can be violated and may require additional improvements, such as the specification of an exact limit and/or average for large contours.

The insufficiencies of the spectra and entropy in determining if TQO is present have counterparts in the topology of graphs and in the Graph Equivalence Problem (GEP) in particular. The adjacency matrix of a graph has elements $C_{\mathbf{ij}} = 1$ if vertices $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are linked by an edge and $C_{\mathbf{ij}} = 0$ otherwise. Vertex relabeling $\mathbf{i} \to p(\mathbf{i})$ leaves a graph invariant but changes the adjacency matrix C according to $C \to C' = P^{\dagger} C P$ with P an orthogonal matrix which represents the permutation: $P = \delta_{\mathbf{j},p(\mathbf{i})}$. The GEP is the following [15]: "Given C and C', can we decide if both correspond to the same topological graph?" The spectra of C and C' are insufficient criteria. Entropic measures [15] are useful but also do not suffice.

In many systems (with or without TQO), there are non-local "string" correlators which display enhanced (or maximal) correlations vis a vis standard 2-point correlation functions. We now outline an algorithm for the construction of such non-local correlators. [In systems with uniform global order already present in their GS, the algorithm leads to the usual 2-point correlators.] We seek a unitary transformation U_s which rotates the GSs into a new set of states which have greater correlations as measured by a set of local operators $\{V_{\mathbf{i}}\}$. These new states may have an appropriately defined *polarization* (eigenvalues $\{v_{\mathbf{i}}\}$) of either (i) more slowly decaying (algebraic or other) correlations, (ii) a uniform sign (*partial polarization*) or (iii) maximal expectation values $v_{\mathbf{i}} = v_{max}$ for all $\mathbf{i}$ (*maximal polarization*). Cases (i) or (ii) may lead to a lower dimensional gauge like structure for the enhanced correlator. In systems with entangled GSs (such as in many (yet not all) GSs hosting $T = 0$ TQO), U_s cannot be a uniform product of locals; $U_s \neq \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \Lambda} O_{\mathbf{i}}$. To provide a concise known example where these concepts become clear, we focus below on case (ii) within the well-studied AKLT Hamiltonian [16]. Here, there is a non-trivial unitary operator $U_s \equiv \prod_{\mathbf{j}<\mathbf{k}} \exp[i\pi S^z_{\mathbf{j}} S^x_{\mathbf{k}}]$, ($[U_s, H] \neq 0$) which maps the GSs $\{|g_\alpha\rangle\}$ into linear superpositions of states in each of which the local staggered magnetization $V_{\mathbf{i}} = (-1)^{\mathbf{i}} S^z_{\mathbf{i}} \equiv M_{\mathbf{i}}$ is uniformly non-negative (or non-positive) at every site $\mathbf{i}$. As all transformed states $|p_\alpha\rangle = U_s |g_\alpha\rangle$ are superpositions of states with uniform sign $v_{\mathbf{i}}$ (allowing for two non-negative or non-positive $v_{\mathbf{i}}$ values at every site out of the three $S = 1$ states), $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{ij}} \equiv \langle p_\alpha | V_{\mathbf{i}} V_{\mathbf{j}} | p_\alpha \rangle > \langle g_\alpha | V_{\mathbf{i}} V_{\mathbf{j}} | g_\alpha \rangle \equiv G_{\mathbf{ij}}$. When U_s is written in full, $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{ij}}$ becomes a non-local "string" correlator $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{ij}} = (-1)^{|\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}|} \langle g_\alpha | S^z_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{\mathbf{i}<\mathbf{k}<\mathbf{j}} \exp[i\pi S^z_{\mathbf{k}}] S^z_{\mathbf{j}} | g_\alpha \rangle = (2/3)^2$ for arbitrarily large separation $|\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{j}|$. In the $\otimes S^z_{\mathbf{i}}$ eigenbasis, there are 2^{N_s} states $|\phi\rangle$ with non-negative (non-positive) $v_{\mathbf{i}}$ for all $\mathbf{i}$ (they form a Hilbert subspace), and all of these have the same value of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{ij}} = \langle \phi | V_{\mathbf{i}} V_{\mathbf{j}} | \phi \rangle$, that is 4/9. Such an exponential number of states appears in systems with a local $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge structure. Here, the string correlators exhibit a symmetry under local ($d = 0$) gauge transformations while H itself is not invariant. These $d = 0$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$ transformations correspond (in the $\otimes S^z_{\mathbf{i}}$ basis) to the creation (annihilation) of an $S^z_{\mathbf{j}} = 0$ state at any site $\mathbf{j}$ followed by a unit displacement of $S^z_{\mathbf{k}}$ at all sites $\mathbf{k} > \mathbf{j}$. The operators linking the GSs form a discrete ($\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$) global symmetry group [16] and, as such, $T = 0$ SSB may occur. The general polarization operators are intimately tied to selection rules which hold for all of the GSs; these selection rules (and a particular low-energy projection of truncated Hamiltonians for gapped systems) enable the construction of general string and higher dimensional "brane" correlators. In the AKLT problem, the local expectation value $\langle g_\alpha | S^z_{\mathbf{i}=1} | g_\alpha \rangle$ depends on $|g_\alpha\rangle$, violating Eq. (1) and suggesting that the AKLT chain is not topologically ordered. We may also generate maximal ($\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{ij}} = 1$) string correlators; here, the number of states with maximal polarization is finite and a non-local gauge-like structure emerges. Generally, in any system with known (or engineered) GSs, we may explicitly construct polarizing transformations U_s.

To conclude, we proved a theorem establishing how $d \leq 2$ dimensional GLSs in gapped systems can mandate TQO via freely propagating low-dimensional topological defects (e.g. $d = 1$ solitons). This result extends the set of known systems which exhibit TQO to include new orbital, spin, and Josephson junction array problems. All known TQO systems display GLSs [4]. We examined physical consequences of GLSs (such as conservation laws and topological terms in high-D theories), and low-dimensional gauge-like structures in theories with entangled GSs in non-maximal string correlators (irrespective of TQO). We established insufficiencies of the Hamiltonian spectra, fractionalization, entanglement entropy, and maximal string correlations in determining TQO.

We thank C. D. Batista, S. Bravyi, A. Kitaev, S. Nussinov, J. Preskill, and P. Wiegmann for discussions, and the DOE, NSA, CMI of WU for support.

[1] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics* (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1980).

[2] X-G. Wen, *Quantum Field Theory of Many-Body Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004).

[3] A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **303**, 2 (2003).

[4] Z. Nussinov and G. Ortiz, cond-mat/0702377.

[5] C. D. Batista and G. Ortiz, Adv. in Phys. **53**, 2 (2004).

[6] C. D. Batista and Z. Nussinov, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 045137 (2005).

[7] Z. Nussinov, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 054509 (2005).

[8] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 1698 (1979).

[9] A. J. Niemi and G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rep. **135**, 99 (1986).

[10] Z. Nussinov, C. D. Batista, and E. Fradkin, cond-mat/0602569.

[11] K. I. Kugel and D. I. Khomskii, Sov. Phys. JETP **37**, 725 (1973).

[12] A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110404 (2006); M. Levin and X-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110405 (2006).

[13] F. J. Wegner, J. of Math. Phys. **12**, 2259 (1971).

[14] X-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 016803 (2003).

[15] C. D. Godsil, D. A. Holton, and B. D. McKay, *The spectrum of a graph*, in Lecture Notes in Math. **622**, 91

(Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977).

[16] I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, Commun. Math. Phys. **115**, 477 (1988); T. Kennedy and H. Tasaki, Comm. Math. Phys. **147**, 431 (1992).